

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

\* \* \* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \* CRIMINAL NO. 10-732-02  
\*  
Versus \* Houston, Texas  
\* 3:15 p.m. - 3:39 p.m.  
LIA SAMIRA ST. JUNIUS \* November 1, 2011

\* \* \* \* \*

**SENTENCING**

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID HITTNER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\* \* \* \* \*

THIS TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN FURNISHED AT PUBLIC EXPENSE  
UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT AND MAY BE USED ONLY AS  
AUTHORIZED BY COURT ORDER. UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION  
WILL RESULT IN AN ASSESSMENT AGAINST COUNSEL FOR THE  
COST OF AN ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY AT THE OFFICIAL RATE.

General Order 94-15, United States  
District Court, Southern District of Texas

Proceedings recorded by computer stenography  
Produced by computer-aided transcription

*Edward L. Reed*  
United States Court Reporter  
515 Rusk, Suite 8016  
Houston, Texas 77002 \* 713-250-5594

1      **APPEARANCES:**

2      For the United States of America:

3           **MR. SAMUEL J. LOUIS**  
4           **MS. SUZANNE R. BRADLEY**  
5           United States Attorney's Office  
6           919 Milam, Suite 1500  
7           Houston, Texas 77002

8      For the Defendant:

9           **MR. GERALD FRY**  
10          Attorney at Law  
11          801 Congress Avenue  
12          Suite 350  
13          Houston, Texas 77002

14          Probation Officer:

15          **SHANNON YAEGE**

16          Court Reporter:

17          **EDWARD L. REED**

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1                   THE COURT: Court calls the case Criminal  
2 Matter 4-10-732, *United States versus Lia St. Junius.*

3                   Who represents the Government?

4                   MR. LOUIS: Sam Louis for the United States,  
5 Your Honor.

6                   THE COURT: And for the defense?

7                   MR. FRY: Gerald Fry for the defendant, Your  
8 Honor.

9                   THE COURT: Mr. Fry, you've got a number of  
10 letters I want to discuss. You've got a number of pages  
11 of objections. So let's start in. I think we're doing  
12 okay timewise.

13                   I have letters. And by the way, the court  
14 reporter has a copy of these names, so, as I go through  
15 them, I'm not reading them, hopefully, before he can get  
16 them down. He's got a copy of them. I ran copies.  
17 These are the letters that I read.

18                   First of all, there were 11 educational  
19 certificates of various sorts. There are letters from:  
20 The defendant herself, three pages, handwritten. Her  
21 mother, Lula Reese. Alethia Price. Cousin, Shrunda  
22 Young-Johnson. Cousin, Erica Catalon. Cousin, Donetta  
23 Price. Cousin, Clarice St. Junius. Aunt, Deborah  
24 Williams. A parent of a friend that is Algie Bailey.  
25 A family friend, Tasmia Maple. Best friend, Amariesha

1       Egins, E-g-i-n-s. And a brother, Xavier Mitchell.

2                    Okay, there is also -- I'm going down and  
3 reading in sequence your objections. The response to  
4 the objections, as you probably note, is a repeat of a  
5 couple of Roman numerals.

6                    MR. FRY: My Roman numerals were a little off.

7                    THE COURT: Right. So there are two number  
8 VII's and two number XIII's if I remember. I'm going to  
9 address them and going to refer to them as like, for  
10 instance, the first VII and the second. But I'll also  
11 announce what sections you are objecting to so it's an  
12 easy cross-reference. So I've gone down and I've done  
13 that whole thing.

14                   Defendant objects to Paragraph 1. The  
15 defendant was not a conspirator from 1999 until 2010,  
16 but only worked in the Mobility Store from January '05  
17 to January '08.

18                   Response: Count One sets out the duration  
19 of the conspiracy. Acts and omissions of others can be  
20 considered pursuant to Guideline 1B1.3(a)(1)(B).

21                   Object to Paragraph 12 concerning  
22 testimony of handwriting expert.

23                   Response: The defendant never notified  
24 Medicare. Defendant also was aware of other fraudulent  
25 signatures. Under 1B1.3, she can be held responsible

1 for all reasonably foreseeable acts and omissions of  
2 others.

3 Objection to Paragraph 13 concerning  
4 Missouri and California locations. And see the  
5 discussion of that same thing. I'm not going over it  
6 again. That's also addressed in Number II, response to  
7 Objection No. II.

8 Objection No. IV, which is an objection to  
9 Paragraph 19. Objection that Johnson was responsible  
10 for billing and never got involved in any Medicare  
11 money. That was the responsibility.

12 Response: Defendant ran the office when  
13 co-defendant was not available. Submitted claims to  
14 Medicare for Medicare fees for the ortho kit. Defendant  
15 profited millions of dollars in fraudulent payments from  
16 Medicare and Medicaid. She had signatory authority on  
17 the Medicaid. She had signatory authority on the bank  
18 accounts. Check cards were linked to St. Junius and  
19 Reese. Both had signatory authority. She was living a  
20 lavish lifestyle. This was all testimony at trial.  
21 Purchased an \$800,000 home and luxury cars: As best we  
22 can recall and looking through it, two Bentley  
23 automobiles, one Rolls Royce Phantom, and a Mercedes  
24 550C.

25 There is an objection to Paragraph 22.

1 The defendant never received any Medicaid money. And  
2 again, it's a reference back to see the explanation in  
3 the answer Number VI.

4 Objection to No. 25 paragraph because the  
5 whole office had access to accounts.

6 Once again, just for shorthand sake, see  
7 the responses as to Objections No. IV and V.

8 No. VII, the first No. IV, which is an  
9 objection to Paragraph 29. The money was for Reese's  
10 personal use.

11 Response: Information received from Case  
12 Agent and Lopez's testimony is referred to. It  
13 discusses the percentage allocation and the various  
14 accounts.

15 Objection second No. VII. Objection to  
16 paragraph 35 concerning disbursement of funds as to the  
17 sub-accounts.

18 Response: See response to Objection the  
19 first No. VII paragraph immediately preceding.

20 No. 9. Objection to Paragraph 37. The  
21 defendant never supervised anyone and never was the "go  
22 to" person.

23 Also, the house was purchased, the  
24 \$800,000 home, by another defendant from casino  
25 winnings.

1 Response: Fort Bend County Appraisal  
2 District shows the house was in defendant's name. Also,  
3 as previously discussed in detail and in testimony at  
4 trial, the defendant made business decisions for the  
5 company and handled financial aspects of the business.

13 Response: The defendant was trained to  
14 run the company, perform duties of office manager when  
15 needed, sign checks for marketers.

16 Paragraph XII was an objection to  
17 Paragraph 49. Never held a position of trust, and it  
18 states she was merely a clerk. And the plus two points  
19 for abusing position of trust, defendant's position is,  
20 should be removed.

21 Response: The defendant was the face of  
22 the DME company, and Medicare applications were in her  
23 name and other details as set out in the responses to  
24 the objections.

25 Roman Numeral **viii**, the first **viii**.

1 Objection to Paragraph 71 relative to defendant's  
2 medical equipment.

3 Response. No objection. That is so  
4 noted.

5 The second Objection VIII was an objection  
6 to Paragraph 72. Defendant said she never thought -- in  
7 fact, I needn't go into that. It was about a health  
8 matter that occurred at the Federal Detention Center,  
9 and she took issue with that, and the information was  
10 received directly from the defendant, and the  
11 Federal Detention Center medical records will suffice.  
12 But it is in the report. I don't think there is any  
13 need to go out and discuss it in public unless either  
14 side wants me to do so, okay? We'll keep some  
15 confidentiality.

16 Paragraph XIV, objection to Paragraph 76.  
17 The defendant does not want to now pursue an education  
18 in cosmetology or barbering. She wants to be a nurse.

That clarification is so noted.

20 Paragraph 90 objection, which is defense  
21 Objection No. XV. Health care fraud is a 10-year max.  
22 The Guideline should be up to 120 months and not where  
23 the Guideline is now, 135 to 165 months.

24 And the response is a citation, basically,  
25 to Guideline 5G1.2(d) concerning one or more counts can

1 run consecutively.

2 As to what you have in writing, any  
3 additions or corrections by the Government?

4 MR. LOUIS: No, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Any corrections or additions by the  
6 defense?

7 MR. FRY: No, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right. Except as noted that  
9 were granted or modified, all objections are overruled.  
10 The Pre-sentence Report and all addendums are hereby  
11 adopted by this Court.

12 Counsel, do you desire to make a statement  
13 on behalf of your client?

14 MR. FRY: Yes, I do, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

16 MR. FRY: Lia St. Junius was raised by a father  
17 that deceived her. He broke the trust as a father.  
18 James Reese needed somebody to open the Mobility Store  
19 because he had a long criminal record. He got his  
20 daughter. She was the only father she knew since she  
21 was seven years old. She worked there, but she didn't  
22 work 40 hours a week. She was doing 20 hours a week.  
23 His goal was to try to train her to run the company.  
24 She never got trained. She's working less hours than  
25 she should have, 20 hours. She'd worked in mailing,

1       shipping. She would file documents in folders. She  
2 would enter data -- do data entry for the Medicare  
3 benefits, and she'd get that off of another sheet of  
4 paper.

5                   He did lavish a lot of gifts on her and a  
6 lot of money on her. He took advantage of her. And I  
7 think that the Court ought to consider that under 18,  
8 3553. And I would argue that the Court ought to come  
9 down some on the Guidelines, that possibly a sentence --  
10 Brenda got 43 months. Maybe give her somewhere in the  
11 double, 80 months. But I don't think that she should be  
12 three times the sentence as say Brenda would get. I  
13 think Brenda participated much more than Lia ever did.  
14 So I would argue --

15                   THE COURT: Did Brenda get any Bentleys, get a  
16 \$800,000 house, get any Rolls Royces or Mercedes? Did  
17 the young lady get all that sort of stuff also?

18                   MR. FRY: No, but James Reese set it up. It  
19 was not like she's out trying to get more and more. He  
20 says, "I'm going to give you this." She didn't say no.  
21 She could have said no, she didn't say no. But she was  
22 not actively scheming to try and make more and more  
23 money. He made all the decisions. He decided to give  
24 her money and there is no question she got a lot more  
25 money than Brenda ever did.

1                   And I would argue that under 18, 3553,  
2 that twice Brenda's sentence would be an appropriate  
3 sentence.

4                   THE COURT: Ms. St. Junius, do you desire to  
5 make a statement in your own behalf?

6                   DEFENDANT ST. JUNIUS: Yes, sir, I would. I  
7 just want to say that I do apologize to the United  
8 States and the Government. I apologize to Medicare and  
9 Medicaid and to the patients. Your Honor, like my  
10 counsel said, James Reese has been my father since I was  
11 seven years old. He came to me when I was 18 and said,  
12 you know, I want to open this company up for you so you  
13 could have something in the future and so forth. I  
14 believed him, I trusted him. I expected things to go  
15 the right way. I never in a million years thought that  
16 this would be happening to me that's happened to me. I  
17 mean, as a parent, I think parents are supposed to lead  
18 kids by example and children follow that example and you  
19 expect your parents to lead you down the right path.  
20 You don't expect things of this nature. I mean, before  
21 this incident, I never had a reason to not trust him and  
22 not believe anything he told me.

23                   To be honest with you, during trial is  
24 when I realized and I learned the truth behind  
25 everything that really happened, because he always

1 assured me that nothing was wrong and everything was  
2 fine. And, you know, they knew that he was teaching me  
3 the company. He said, "If FBI ever came and talked to  
4 you, you know, just tell them that they know that I'm  
5 your dad and they know that I'm teaching you the  
6 company," which I was expecting the FBI come speak with  
7 me, but I never spoke with anyone. Nobody ever came and  
8 talked to me and told me nothing.

1                   THE COURT: All right, Government's position?

2                   MR. LOUIS: I agree with some of what she said,  
3 which is, number one, I do agree that loved ones are  
4 supposed to set good examples. And in this case, from  
5 what I have read and seen and through the investigation,  
6 she did not have good examples. But during this trial  
7 we learned that Ms. St. Junius lied when Medicare called  
8 to verify over and over and over again who was the owner  
9 of this company. They talked with her, we listened to  
10 tapes where there were conversations back and forth. We  
11 saw documents that were submitted all for the purpose of  
12 continuing that lie, which is that she was the owner of  
13 the company and James Reese wasn't. And the reason they  
14 did that is so they could live this fairy tale life,  
15 fairy tale to everybody else, but not to them, to have  
16 this lavish cars, to have these houses, to be able to go  
17 to the casino and spend all the money they wanted to, to  
18 walk around with thousands of dollars in their pockets.

19                   Certainly, she did receive some guidance  
20 from Mr. Reese, but she's standing here not because  
21 Mr. Reese told her to do these things and she couldn't  
22 decipher. She's a grown-up. She knew when they called  
23 and they asked who was the owner of this company, is  
24 James Reese involved in this company, and she submitted  
25 when there was a question about the Reese Group and the

1 Mobility Store, what's the association, she continued  
2 that lie so that they could have a provider number so  
3 they could do what? Get these millions of dollars.

4 So she's got to accept responsibility not  
5 for simply being failed by her parents, but not making  
6 the right choice in deciding not to get involved in  
7 this, not to continue that lie. Because she had many  
8 opportunities to say, "I'm out of here, enough is  
9 enough." But she didn't do that until the Government  
10 came to arrest her.

11 So it's within the Court's discretion to  
12 sentence her. I'll leave that up to the Court. But  
13 she's not here because of somebody else. Certainly, she  
14 had bad examples, but she made a decision to be involved  
15 in this, she took all the benefits of it. And now,  
16 unfortunately, it's time to be able to be responsible  
17 for the consequences of those actions.

18 THE COURT: All right, counsel, do you know any  
19 reason why your client should not be sentenced at this  
20 time?

21 MR. FRY: No, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: The Guidelines have taken into  
23 account the intended loss amount attributed to the  
24 defendant's actions, role and abuse of trust. However,  
25 due to the grouping roles in 3D1.1, the defendant's

1 Guideline calculation was not impacted by the  
2 \$3.7 million that she assisted Reese and Lopez to  
3 launder.

4 For all reasons that I previously gave,  
5 my observation at trial, and the reasons given in the  
6 responses to the objections, I think the following  
7 sentence is considered reasonably sufficient, but not  
8 greater than necessary to satisfy the sentencing  
9 objectives as set forth in 18 United States Code,  
10 Section 3553(a). And in effect, all of those are  
11 reasons for where I'm sentencing within the Guidelines.

12 The defendant is hereby committed to the  
13 custody of the Bureau of Prisons, to be imprisoned in  
14 the federal penitentiary without parole as follows:

15 She's to be imprisoned for a term of 60  
16 months as to Count 1S, 120 months as to Count 10S  
17 through 16S, and 135 months as to Count 33S, all  
18 totaling a total amount of imprisonment of 135 months.  
19 That's 11-and-a-quarter years.

20 Upon release from imprisonment, she'll be  
21 placed on supervised release for a term of three years.  
22 This term consists of three years as to each of Counts  
23 1S, 10S through 16S, and 33S, all such terms to run  
24 concurrently.

25 Within 72 hours of release from the

1 custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the defendant shall  
2 report in person to the Probation Office in the district  
3 to which she's released. While on supervised release,  
4 she'll not commit another federal, state or local crime,  
5 shall comply with the standard conditions that have been  
6 adopted by the Court under General Order H-1996-10,  
7 abide by any mandatory conditions required by law, and  
8 comply with the following additional conditions:

24 The defendant is required to provide the  
25 Probation Officer access to any requested financial

1 information and will be prohibited from incurring new  
2 credit charges or opening additional lines of credit  
3 without approval of the Probation Officer.

4 She'll cooperate in the collection of a  
5 DNA sample from the defendant if the collection of such  
6 sample is authorized, pursuant to Section 3 of the DNA  
7 Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000.

8 It's further ordered that she will be  
9 jointly and severally liable with defendants Reese and  
10 Lopez to pay restitution in the amount of \$8,651,805.76.  
11 Of that amount, Johnson is jointly and severally liable  
12 with Reese, Lopez, and St. Junius in the amount of  
13 \$4,015,934.40, all to be payable to DHHS/CMS, Division  
14 of Accounting, and it's in Baltimore, Maryland, and the  
15 address will be in the final judgment.

16 The defendant's restitution obligation  
17 shall not be affected by any restitutions made by other  
18 defendants, except to the extent that no further ones  
19 will be due once it's fully covered all compensable  
20 loss.

21 It's ordered she additionally pay to the  
22 United States a special assessment of \$900. That's \$100  
23 for each of her felony convictions.

24 Let's see, what about a fine in this case?  
25 Is that applicable at all relative to any dollar amounts

1 as compared to the other defendants?

2 PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, are you talking  
3 about in lieu or in addition to restitution?

4 THE COURT: Restitution will be sufficient. Do  
5 you agree with that?

6 PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. I just wanted to make  
8 sure. I don't need to hear from the Government because  
9 that's my inclination.

10 Having assessed the defendant's ability to  
11 pay, the \$900 is due immediately, balance due in 50  
12 percent of any wages earned while in prison, in  
13 accordance with the Bureau of the Prisons Inmate  
14 Financial Responsibility Program. Any balance remaining  
15 after release from imprisonment will be due in equal  
16 monthly installments of \$200, to commence 60 days after  
17 the release from imprisonment to a term of supervision.  
18 Payment is to be made through the U.S. District Clerk's  
19 Office, Southern District of Texas.

20 Now, any forfeiture?

21 MR. LOUIS: Yes, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Let me have it, please.

23 MR. LOUIS: In the amount of \$8,561,805.76.

24 MS. BRADLEY: Actually, Your Honor, that's  
25 incorrect. It actually should be \$8,651,000 --

1           THE COURT: Again, 8 million --

2           MS. BRADLEY: 651,000 --

3           THE COURT: Okay. Hold it, 8,651,000 --

4           MS. BRADLEY: -- \$805.76.

5           THE COURT: All right, I'm making the  
6 correction. If you want to submit a corrected one  
7 later, we'll just substitute it.

8           MR. LOUIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

9           THE COURT: That is so noted and it's signed.

10           Anything further?

11           MR. LOUIS: No, Your Honor.

12           THE COURT: The defendant is notified that she  
13 has the right to appeal the jury verdict -- that is the  
14 judgment in this case -- and the sentence of this Court  
15 and the right to appointment of counsel on appeal if she  
16 can't afford one.

17           Anything further from the Government?

18           MR. LOUIS: No, Your Honor.

19           THE COURT: Anything further from the defense?

20           MR. FRY: The defendant does wish to appeal the  
21 judgment and the sentence. She does not have the money  
22 to retain an attorney. She wishes an attorney to be  
23 appointed. I'd like to have permission to withdraw from  
24 the case and another attorney appointed to represent her  
25 on the appeal.

1                   THE COURT: Talk to the case manager later as  
2 soon as the judgment is signed in this case. Once it  
3 comes up from the Probation Department and I sign it, I  
4 will consider it.

5                   All right, thank you. We'll stand  
6 adjourned in this matter.

7                   ***[Proceedings adjourned]***

8

9                   REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

10

11 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript  
12 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled  
13 cause.

14

15 /s/ Ed Reed  
16 Edward L. Reed  
Official Court Reporter

1-9-12  
Date

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25